

THESIS

**PERFORMANCE EVALUATION & CALIBRATION OF REFERENCE  
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION ESTIMATION METHODS UNDER  
VARIANT AGRO-ECOLOGICAL ZONES OF PAKISTAN**



7158

Submitted By

Farhan Khalid  
(2008-PG-WRM-25)

For the Degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

IN

WATER RESOURCES MANAGEMENT

CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN WATER RESOURCES ENGINEERING  
University of Engineering and Technology, Lahore, Pakistan

2011

## ABSTRACT

An estimate of irrigation water requirement (IWR) is of basic concern for irrigation planning and scheduling. In order to estimate the IWR, a reliable estimate of the crop evapotranspiration is of fundamental concern. The crop evapotranspiration ( $ET_C$ ) is generally estimated as the reference crop evapotranspiration ( $ET_O$ ) multiplied by a crop coefficient ( $K_C$ ). Although there are numerous empirical methods available for estimating  $ET_O$  using meteorological data; however, those methods need local calibration for good estimates.

The major objectives this study was to evaluate performance, calibrate and ranking of various  $ET_O$  methods (ranging from simple temperature based to data extensive combination methods) for daily  $ET_O$  estimates in different agro-ecological zones of Pakistan. For evaluation/calibration, the Penman-Monteith (P\_M) method was used as the base method. In this study, seven  $ET_O$  estimation methods ranging from simple temperature-based to data-extensive combination methods namely; Hargreaves (HAR), Improved-Hargreaves (IHA), FAO-24 Radiation (RAD), FAO-24 Penman (FAO\_Penman) method with wind functions of Penman-1963 and Watts & Hancock (W&H\_Penman) method, and the Reduced-Set Penman-Monteith (RDS P\_M) were evaluated at nine sites (Lahore, Faisalabad, Jhelum, Khanpur, Bahawalpur, Sialkot, D.I.Khan, Sargodha, and Bahawalnagar) of variant agro-ecological zones of Pakistan. The climatic data of five years (2005-09) were used for  $ET_O$  estimates at each site.

In general, all methods exhibited an overestimating trended at all sites. At Lahore (except RDS P\_M & RAD), at Faisalabad (except RDS P\_M), Khanpur (except RDS P\_M), Bahawalpur (except RDS P\_M & HAR), Sialkot (except RDS P\_M), Sargodha (except RDS P\_M), Bahawalnagar (except RDS P\_M & HAR), and at Jhelum as well as D.I.Khan all methods overestimated  $ET_O$  estimates. The overestimation range of various methods at different sites was: M\_P-63 (6-24%), M\_P-W\_H (9-25%), RDS P\_M (0-1%), RAD (5-42%), IHA (14-73%), and HAR (11-45%). The underestimation of daily  $ET_O$  estimates by the RDS P\_M method ranged from 1 to 11% and HAR (0-6%).

The analysis confirmed that no single daily  $ET_O$  estimation method using meteorological data was satisfactory for all nine sites. The combination methods such as M\_P-63, M\_P-W\_H and RDS P\_M provided the most accurate  $ET_O$  estimates for all sites. It was noted that all  $ET_O$  estimation methods required local calibration to enhance accuracy and reliability of  $ET_O$  estimation.